BRENTWOOD BOROUGH COUNCIL

INSURANCE AND RISK MANAGEMENT STRATEGY

Version 4.0

November 2020

Contents

Key Information

This document is to be reviewed every two years by Brentwood Borough Council's Risk & Insurance Officer

Author:	Sue White
Section / Directorate:	Finance
Strategy Approval – Officer Level:	Jacqueline Van Mellaerts
Strategy Approval – Member Level:	Audit & Scrutiny Committee
Strategy Published Date:	
Strategy Review Date:	

1. Policy Statement

Brentwood Borough Council is committed to the effective management of risk. The Council's employees, partners, stakeholders, residents, assets and ability to deliver its objectives and services are constantly affected by risk. The Council recognises that risk can be both positive and negative. The Council accepts its legal, moral and fiduciary duties in taking informed decisions about how best to control and minimise the downside of risk, whilst still maximising opportunity and benefiting from positive risks. The Council will ensure that Members and staff understand their responsibility to identify risks and their possible consequences.

2. Introduction

The Council's priority is to deliver excellent, customer focused, cost effective services by ensuring that the Council's Risk Management framework is in place and operating effectively. The Council's corporate insurance arrangements form part of the overall risk management approach.

This strategy outlines the Council's overall approach to risk retention and transfer including the procurement of corporate insurance cover through relevant policies of insurance to protect against loss or damage to the Council's assets and potential liabilities.

Risk

Risk is defined in this context as something that might have an impact on achieving the Council's objectives and its delivery of services to the community.

Risk Management can be defined as ***"the culture, processes and structures that are directed towards effective management of potential opportunities and threats to the organisation achieving its objectives".***

We use the risk management process to identify, evaluate and control risks. Risk management need not mean risk avoidance and may involve taking steps to reduce risk to an acceptable level or transfer risk to a third party. The Council recognises that it has to deliver services in an increasingly litigious and risk-averse society. The Council will therefore use risk management to promote innovation in support of the Corporate Plan.

Insurance

Insurance is a mechanism for transferring risks to another (the insurer) for a consideration (premium). The broad principal of insurance is that the premiums collected from many policyholders pays for the claims of a few, whilst still allowing the insurer to meet their overheads, pay dividends to shareholders, purchase re-insurance to protect themselves against catastrophic losses and to build up their reserves. The Council is not required by law to purchase insurance to cover its risks, except as set out in the next paragraph.

Under the Local Government Act 1972 it is required to have Fidelity Guarantee Insurance. This protects the Council in the event of a financial loss arising out of the fraud or dishonesty by its employees. The Council also purchases insurance and inspection services where there are other statutory requirements, for example the need, under the various Health and Safety Acts, to have boilers and lifts inspected by an independent and competent person.

3. Aims and Objectives

<u>Aim</u>

The aim of this Strategy is to improve the Council's ability to deliver a systematic and structured approach to identifying and managing risks across the Council. To ensure that appropriate insurance arrangements are in place to protect the Council against loss or damage to the assets and potential liabilities and to obtain the broadest cover at the best terms available.

<u>Objectives</u>

The objectives of this Strategy are:-

- Integrate and raise awareness of risk management for all those connected with the delivery of Council services

- To provide a robust and systematic framework for identifying, managing and responding to risk

- Anticipate and respond to changing social, environmental and legislative requirements.

- Enhance the attractiveness of the Council's risk profile to underwriters.

- Comply with any statutory requirements to have in place particular policies of insurance and associated inspection systems.

- Minimise potential claims and consequently reduce the cost of insurances

- Reduce the cost of external premium spend and to consider self-funding for low level claims

- Protect the Council's assets (people and property).

- Protect the reputation of the Council.

These objectives will be achieved by:

- Establishing clear roles, responsibilities and reporting lines within the Council for identifying and managing risk.

- Embedding risk management into the Council's decision-making process, service delivery, project management and partnership working.

- Providing opportunities for training and shared learning on insurance and risk management across the Council.

- Maintaining documented procedures for the control of risk and the provision of suitable information, training and supervision.

- Maintaining an appropriate incident reporting and recording system, (with investigation procedures to establish cause and prevent recurrence) to provide opportunities for improved risk management across the Council.

- Ensuring robust Business Continuity arrangements are in place.

- Robust claims handling arrangements and insurance fraud detection.

- Maintaining claims handling protocols that are in line with statutory requirements.

4. Insurance Framework

With the expiry of the long-term agreement (LTA) with Zurich Municipal and Chubb in March 2020, a tender exercise was undertaken. This was procured in accordance with external regulatory requirements applying at the time (e.g. OJEU procedures) and the Council's Financial Regulations

The Council ensured compliance with OJEU procedures by using a framework set up by Yorkshire Purchasing Organisation to appoint a Broker. This was necessary because, with the exception of Zurich Municipal, the insurance industry transacts all its business through Insurance Brokers. Then, assisted by the Broker, the Council ran a competitive tender inviting all suppliers on the framework to submit. The Broker gave advice and information on insurance cover, assisted in the preparation of tender documentation and the evaluation of tenders and quotations.

The new contract is for a five-year period with an option to extend the contract for a further two years should it be identified that this provides best value for the Council.

All policies were awarded to Zurich Municipal on a package basis, which resulted in a saving of £200K.

Premiums will be charged to service revenue budgets annually and will be based on exposure to risk (e.g. sum insured).

Liability claims will be managed in accordance with the Civil Procedures Rules with strict adherence to the protocol timetable. The Insurance Officer will lead on all investigations and provide the liaison between employees, solicitors and insurers.

Analysis of claims will lead to risk improvements in the areas of training, security and systems of work.

The Annual Review Process

The annual review process requires the Council to provide the Insurer with information on changes to sums to be insured for the following insurance year, which runs from 1 April to 31 March. These sums include information on the value of the Council's property estate, computer equipment, vehicles, etc. On receipt of this information and the Council's claims history over the year, the Insurer will then assess the Council's risk profile and present a report detailing proposed premiums for the following insurance year categorised by policy type.

On receipt of this report the Council reviews the figures for accuracy and assesses whether the report is a fair representation of the Council's risk profile based on claims experience. A meeting is then convened between the Council and the Insurer to discuss the report.

Thereafter, should an agreement be reached the contractual relationship between the Council and the Insurer will continue until the next annual review.

5. Risk Management Framework

Risk Management is a central part of the Council's strategic management. It is the process by which risks are identified, evaluated and controlled.

The risk management process will add value to the Council's decision-making process and is key to the organisation's strategic development, playing a fundamental role in reducing the possibility of failure and increase the Council's successes.

In broad terms risks are split into three categories:

- Strategic – those risks relating to the long term goals of the Council
- Operational – risks related to the day-to-day operation of each individual service
- Project – consideration of the risks occurring as a result of the Council's involvement in specific initiatives

The Strategic Register is owned by the Senior Leadership Team, with ownership for risks being assigned to individual officers and Operational Registers are maintained by the relevant Department and reported to the Senior Leadership Team.

The Council is committed to establishing a systematic and consistent approach to risk identification, analysis, control, monitoring and review and consists of five stages:-

- Identify Risks – this involves the identification of risks, describing and recording them.

- Evaluate Risks – the identified risks are each assessed in terms of their likelihood and potential impact and determined against a profiling matrix.

- Manage Risks – this involves the identification and implementation of control measures to mitigate the impact risk, the cost effectiveness of implementing these measures and the estimation and evaluation of residual risk. There are four basic ways of treating risk, which are:-

Avoid	Stop undertaking the activity which gives rise to that risk
Reduce	Control the risk and take action to reduce either likelihood of a risk occurring and/or the consequences if it does occur
Retain	Accept the risk exposure as part of the risk appetite
Transfer	Involves another party bearing or sharing the risk i.e. via insurance

- Report – progress in managing risks should be monitored and reported to ensure actions are carried out.

- Review – review the effectiveness of the control and to inform decision making.

6. Roles and Responsibilities

Everyone in the Council is involved in risk management and should be aware of their responsibilities in identifying and managing risk. However, the ultimate responsibility for managing risk lies with:

- Members of the Regulatory & Governance Committee (or Equivalent)
 Senior Leadership Team Extended Leadership Team
To ensure the successful implementation of this policy, responsibilities for risk management are detailed below:

Members of the Regulatory & Governance Committee (or Equivalent)
- Approve the Council's Insurance and Risk Management Strategy
- To ensure that strategic risks are being actively managed and report any concerns to full Council

- Senior Leadership Team (SLT)Be responsible for and monitor the Strategic Risk Register and high level Operational Risks
- Ensure risk management is considered by SLT on a monthly basis
- Assign a responsible officer to each significant strategic risk

- **Extended Leadership Team (ELT)** Ensure the Council implements and manages risk effectively through the delivery of the Insurance and Risk Management Strategy and consider risks affecting delivery of service.
- Be responsible for and monitor the Operational Risk Register
- Assign a responsible officer to each significant operational risk.
- Receive and approve updates on the management action plan and on any new significant emerging risks.
- Support the embedding of risk management within the culture of the Council.

- **Director of Resources** Ensure risk forms part of the overall performance management framework
- Contribute to the formulation and future development of the overall Insurance and Risk Management Strategy
- Provide updates to SLT and Members on significant risks identified and emerging from the risk register and other sources.

Departmental Managers
- Take responsibility for the promotion of the Insurance & Risk Management Strategy within their area.
- Ensure awareness of risk culture is embedded across their respective departments and services.
- Ensure that operational risk registers are managed, monitored, responded to and communicated effectively in their areas and reported to SLT.
- Identify resources to address the highest priority risks and make requests to SLT for funds to avoid, transfer or reduce risk.

Team Managers
- Identify, evaluate and control risks facing the Council in achieving its objectives
- Include staff without direct responsibility for owning and managing risk in quarterly risk discussions to ensure teams identify potential risks associated with service delivery as necessary.

Employees
- To ensure they are aware of the risks on the risk register for their service area and have contributed to the identification of potential risks they are aware of.

Internal Audit
- Maintain an independent role in line with guidance from the Institute of Internal Auditors and others and ensure compliance with the Public Sector Internal Audit Standards
- Ensuring that internal controls are robust and operating correctly

7. Risk Analysis

Once risks have been identified they need to be assessed systematically and accurately. The process requires managers to assess the level of risk by considering:

The probability of an event occurring – 'likelihood' and the potential outcome of the consequences should such an event occur – 'impact'. Managers will assess each element of the judgement and determine the score. The table below gives the scores and indicative definitions for each element of the risk ranking process:-

Score	Likelihood	Description
1	Highly Unlikely/ rarely happens	5% likely to happen or hasn't happened within the last 5 years
2	Unlikely/moderate	20% likely to happen or has happened once or twice in the last 5 years
3	Likely/possible	50% likely to happen or has happened once or twice in the last 24 months
4	Very likely/high	75% likely to happen or has happened at least once or twice in the last 12 months
5	Definite/very high	99% likely to happen or has happened on a regular basis over the last 12 months

Score	Impact	Effect on Service	Financial & Resources	Reputation	Legal	People	Effect on project objectives
1	Negligible	• Small impact on customer service which may result in complaints • Nuisance	• Small financial loss; less than £10K • Negligible property damage	No adverse effect on perception	No legal implication	No injury	• Minimal impact to project • Minor slippage
2	Minor	• Small setback • Disruptive impact on service • Localised disgruntlement	• Noticeable financial loss; £10-£100K • Slight damage to one property	Minimal effect to perception (e.g. minor criticism of the Council)	Breach of statutory process, duty or law resulting in possibility of legal action	Minor Injury	• Adverse effect to project. • Slippage requires review finances / short term programme
3	Moderate	• Widespread disgruntlement • Disrupted service delivery from one service area for up to 3 days • Can handle but with difficulty	• Moderate financial loss £100-300K • Inability to deliver popular policies due to budgetary constrictions • Substantial damage to one part of a building	Negative effect on perception, e.g. • Criticism of the council • Local bad press	Breach of major statutory duty or law resulting in probably legal action	RIDDOR (Reporting of Injuries, Diseases & Dangerous Occurrences Regulations (1995) Reportable major injury to an individual	• Important impact on project or most of expected benefits. • Considerable slippage • Possible impact on overall finances / programme
4	Significant	• Intervention in a key service • Disruption to service delivery for one or more service areas for 3-5 days • Failure of an operational partnership	• Sizeable financial loss up to 50% of budget or between £300K-1M • Extensive damage to a critical building or considerable damage to several properties from one source	• Criticism of key process • Large scandal • High level of complaints at corporate level across several service areas • Adverse national media coverage	Breach of law resulting in legal action against the Council which would be difficult to defend	Reportable major injuries to several people or death of an individual	• Extreme delay

Score	Impact	Effect of Service	Financial & Resources	Reputation	Legal	People	Effect on project objectives
5	Major	• Complete breakdown in service delivery with severe, prolonged impact on customer service affecting the whole organisation • Failure of a strategic partnership	• A substantial failure in accountability or integrity • A large financial loss over 50% of budget or greater than £1M • Total loss of a critical building	• A vote of no confidence in one service area • Officer(s) &/or Members forced to resign &/or Audit Commission enquiry • Substantial adverse & persistent national media coverage	Breach of law resulting in legal action against the Council which would be very difficult / impossible to defend	Death of several people	• Complete failure of project

The risk ratings for each part of the assessment are then combined to give an overall ranking for each risk. The ratings can be plotted onto the risk matrix, see below, which assists in determining the risk priority.

8. Risk Ranking Table

Brentwood Council has introduced a best practice five stage approach to Risk Management.

Likelihood		Negligible (1)	Minor (2)	Moderate (3)	Significant (4)	Major (5)
(5)	Definite/very high	Low	Medium	High	Very High	Very High
(4)	Very likely	Low	Medium	High	Very High	Very High
(3)	Likely	Low	Medium	Medium	High	Very High
(2)	Unlikely	Low	Low	Medium	Medium	High
(1)	Highly unlikely	Low	Low	Low	Medium	Medium

Impact

Likelihood x Impact = Risk Score

Risk tolerance			
Level of Risk	Level of Concern	Recommended review pattern	Action required
Very High 15-25	Very concerned	1-2 months	These are critical risks requiring immediate attention. This will mean that strategies need to be developed to reduce or eliminate the risk.
High Risk 10-15	Concerned	2-3 months	These risks are significant. Consideration should be given to the development of strategies to reduce or eliminate the risks.
Medium Risk 4-10	Quite Concerned Risk can be tolerated at this time	3-4 months	These risks are less significant but may cause upset and inconvenience in the short term. These risks should be monitored to ensure they are being appropriately managed and they do not escalate to a higher category of risk.
Low Risk 1-5	Not concerned Risk accepted at this time	4-6 months	These risks are both unlikely to occur and not significant in their impact. They require minimal monitoring and control unless subsequent risk assessments show subsequent change, prompting a move to another risk category.

9. Risk Appetite

Risk appetite is the level of risk the Council are prepared to tolerate or accept in the pursuit of its strategic objectives. The Council's aim is to consider all options to respond to risk appropriately and make informed decisions that are most likely to result in successful delivery, while also providing an acceptable level of value for money. The acceptance of risk is subject to ensuring that all potential benefits and risks are fully understood and that appropriate measures to mitigate risk are established before decisions are made.

Methods of controlling risks must be balanced in order to support innovation and the imaginative use of resources when it is to achieve substantial benefit. In addition, the Council may accept some high risks because of the cost of controlling them. The Council will not accept and will therefore seek to control all risks which have the potential to:

- Jeopardise significantly the Council's ability to carry out its normal operational activities

- Have severe financial consequences which could jeopardise the Council's viability

- Have a damaging impact on our reputation

- Lead to breaches of law and regulations

- Cause significant harm to staff, visitors, contractors and other stakeholders

10. Risk Tolerance

The Council has determined that some risks are acceptable / tolerable. This is in line with the stated risk appetite and is reflected in the green area of the risk heat map. All risks within a rating of 5 or less are deemed to be acceptable or tolerable. Some risks with a rating higher than 5 may also be accepted/tolerated. This would most probably be because of the potential benefit of taking the risk or the cost of controlling the risk. Acceptance or tolerance of any risk with a rating higher than 5 must be approved by the Senior Leadership Team

11. Monitoring arrangements for Key Risks

The reason for monitoring key risks is to create an early warning system for any movement in risk. It will also ensure our treatment of risk remains effective and the benefits of implementing risk control measures outweigh the costs of doing so.

The Insurance and Risk Management Strategy requires risks recorded on the Strategic Risk Register and Operational Risk Registers to be monitored in line with the recommendations set out on page 11 above.

The management of any very high and high risks will be monitored and reported to the Senior Leadership Team.

Monitoring reports are presented for approval to the Senior Leadership Team prior to final ratification by the Regulatory & Governance Committee.

The questions asked during monitoring are:-

- Is the risk still relevant?
- Is there any movement in the risk score?
- Are there controls still in place and operating effectively?
- Has anything occurred which might change its impact and/or likelihood?
- Have any significant control failures or weaknesses occurred since the last monitoring exercise?
- If so, does this indicate whether the risk is increasing or decreasing?
- If the risk is increasing do I need to devise more controls or think of other ways of mitigating the risk?
- If the risk is decreasing can I relax some existing controls?
- Are controls/actions built into appropriate documented action plans?
- Are there any new or emerging risks?
- Have any of the existing risks ceased to be an issue (and can therefore be archived)?